arXiv:0909.3467v1 [math.DS] 18 Sep 2009

EXISTENCE AND CONTINUOUS APPROXIMATION OF SMALL AMPLITUDE BREATHERS IN 1D AND 2D KLEIN–GORDON LATTICES

DARIO BAMBUSI, SIMONE PALEARI, AND TIZIANO PENATI

Abstract. We construct small amplitude breathers in 1D and 2D Klein–Gordon infinite lattices. We also show that the breathers are well approximated by the ground state of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The result is obtained by exploiting the relation between the Klein Gordon lattice and the discrete Non Linear Schrödinger lattice. The proof is based on a Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition and continuum approximation techniques introduced in [9], actually using its main result as an important lemma.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we prove existence of small amplitude breathers in some nonlinear Klein Gordon Lattices in dimension one and two. Moreover we prove that such solutions are well approximated by the ground state of a suitable nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

The present paper is a direct continuation of [9] where the same result was obtained for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation. More precisely, in [9] two of us proved the existence of spatially localized, time periodic solutions in one and two dimensional discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger equation (dNLS). In particular the so called Sievers-Takeno (ST) and Page (P) modes in 1D, and also the hybrid (H) modes in 2D were constructed. The breathers of [9] have been obtained as critical points of the Energy functional constrained to the surface of constant ℓ^2 norm. In turn they were constructed by continuation from the ground state of the Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS). Thus such breathers turned out to be well approximated by the corresponding solutions of the continuous model. A key role in the proof was played by the interpolation of sequences (configurations of the discrete system) by the use of the so called Finite Elements, usually used in numerical analysis.

In order to apply those ideas to the Klein Gordon lattice in which the trivial variational characterization of the breathers is no more true (see however [28] for general results on periodic orbits via variational methods, and [2, 3] for recent results on KG chains), we have to establish a new connection between the KG lattice and the dNLS lattice. Actually the dNLS is heuristically known to be a resonant normal form (or modulation equation) of the KG lattice, an idea which has been exploited in order to describe the finite time dynamics of KG lattices. However, we need here a connection suitable for the description of infinite time dynamics. Such a connection is obtained by using the method of Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition, in which the so called Kernel equation turns out to be a perturbation of the dNLS. We recall that a connection between normal form theory and the method of Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition was first recognized in [13] and exploited in [7, 8].

From a technical point of view the procedure is quite delicate, and in order to obtain a meaningful result we have to exploit the techniques introduced in [9]. We recall that in such a paper the idea was to use the Finite Elements in order to interpolate a sequence (configuration of the lattice) with a function of class H^1. This allows to consider functionals on the discrete configuration space as restrictions to suitable subspaces of functionals on the continuous phase space.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C25, 37K50, 37K60, 65N30.

Key words and phrases. Breathers, small amplitude solutions, 1D and 2D, Klein–Gordon lattices, approximation and interpolation.

This paper is part of a research line consisting in studying the dynamics of lattices using the continuous approximation. Along this line many results on the finite time dynamics have been proved [5, 6, 10, 25, 26, 30], but little is known on the approximation for infinite times (see [14, 15, 17, 29] on the dynamics close to solitons in FPU type models, and the papers [9, 18–24, 32] for what concerns existence of breathers).

We recall that existence of breathers in lattices has been proved in [27] (see also [4, 31]), by looking at the opposite limit, namely the anti-continuous one which leads to large amplitude breathers. Concerning small amplitude breathers, their existence have been established in one dimensional lattices using the spatial dynamics approach (see, e.g., [18–24, 32]). In the case of higher dimensional lattices we recall the result by Aubry, Kopidakis, Kadelburg [1] (which in principle should be applicable also to the present model) and the results by Weinstein [33] (not directly applicable to the present model). However all these results are obtained by topological methods and give no information on the number of existing breathers and on their shape. The result of the present paper is actually the first one allowing to explicitly construct the ST, as well as the P and the H modes.

2. Main result

We consider the equation:

$$\ddot{q_j} = a(\Delta q)_j - V'(q_j) \ , \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^n \tag{1}$$

with

$$V(s) = \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2p+2}|s|^{2p+2} \ , \quad (\Delta q)_j := \sum_{k:|k-j|=1} (q_k - q_j) \ ,$$

with $|k| = \sum_{m=1}^{n} |k_m|$.

Here, and in the following, we will always consider $n \in \{1, 2\}$.

Remark 2.1. The value of the constant β is not essential since it can be changed by means of an amplitude rescaling. For our convenience we fix it as $\beta = c_1^{-1}$, with c_1 defined in (18).

Remark 2.2. Due to the choice of the sign in front of the nonlinearity we obtain that the continuous approximation of the normal form is the *focusing* NLS equation. This is crucial for our analysis which does not apply to the defocusing case.

To state the approximation part of our result, we need to refer to the ground state of the Nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Consider the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLS)

$$\imath\dot{\psi} = -\Delta_c\psi - |\psi|^{2p}\psi$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$\Delta_c := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

is the usual Laplacian operator. It is well known that, if $p < 2/n$ there exists a unique ground state ψ_c of the NLS fulfilling the additional requirements of being real valued, positive, radially symmetric and exponentially decaying [11, 12, 16]. Such a ground state is defined as the function which realizes the minimum of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[|\nabla\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}|\psi|^{2p+2}\right]$ restricted to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi|^2 = 1$. It can often be computed or described quite explicitly.

For any $\mu > 0$ small enough consider the following 2^n distinct sequences $\psi^i \equiv \left\{\psi^i_j(\mu)\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ defined by restricting the NLS ground state ψ_c onto $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} \psi^1_j := \psi_c\left(\mu j\right) , \\ \psi^2_j := \psi_c\left(\mu j + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) , \end{cases} \quad n = 1; \qquad \begin{cases} \psi^1_{j_1,j_2} := \psi_c\left(\mu j_1, \mu j_2\right) , \\ \psi^2_{j_1,j_2} := \psi_c\left(\mu j_1, \mu j_2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) , \\ \psi^3_{j_1,j_2} := \psi_c\left(\mu j_1 + \frac{\mu}{2}, \mu j_2\right) , \\ \psi^4_{j_1,j_2} := \psi_c\left(\mu j_1 + \frac{\mu}{2}, \mu j_2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) , \end{cases} \quad n = 2. \tag{2}$$

These reference sequences correspond to the ST and P modes, plus the H modes in two dimensions.

As a last step, for all the previous sequences, we renormalize the amplitude and add a temporal dependence in the following way:

$$\Psi^i(t) = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \cos(\omega t)\psi^i \,, \tag{3}$$

with $\omega = \omega(\mu) := \sqrt{1 - m\mu^2}$, where m is a real constant (see sect. 3.1).

Remark 2.3. By construction the sequences ψ^i are uniformly bounded in μ, but with diverging ℓ^2 norm as $\mu \to 0$; so we are calling "breathers" solutions which are localized on an increasing interval $[-k, k]^n$ with $k \sim 1/\mu$. The reference solution (3) share the same localization property, but with bounded ℓ^2 norm, due to the amplitude rescaling.

We are now ready to state our result.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume $n \in \{1, 2\}$, $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq p < \frac{2}{n}$, then there exists $\mu^* > 0$, such that for any $0 < \mu < \mu^*$ there exist 2^n distinct real valued sequences $q^i \equiv \left\{q^i_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in H^2([0, T]; \ell^2)$, which are time periodic solutions of (1) with period $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega(\mu)}$. Such solutions fulfill*

$$\left\|q^i - \Psi^i\right\|_{H^2([0,T];\ell^2)} \leq C_2\mu^r \,, \qquad r := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1 \,; \tag{4}$$

$$\sup_j \left|q^i_j(t) - \Psi^i_j(t)\right| \leq C_2\mu^s \,, \qquad s := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \,. \tag{5}$$

Remark 2.5. The periodic orbits we find are actually $\mathcal{C}^{3,1}$ in time, thus they are classical solutions. Indeed, once we get they are H^2, and consequently $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ by Sobolev embeddings, since the operator $q \to |q|^{2p}q$ maps $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ into itself, one has $\ddot{q} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ from equation (1).

Remark 2.6. The bound $p < 2/n$ comes from an analogous bound for the existence and approximability of the ground state of the dNLS (see [9]). On the other hand, for the dNLS, Weinstein proved in [33] the non existence of small amplitude ground states for $p \geq \frac{2}{n}$.

Remark 2.7. The reference solution, when measured in $H^2([0, T]; \ell^2)$ has norm of order $\mu^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{2}}$, so the estimate (4) shows that in such a norm the distance between the actual solution and the reference solution is small compared to the size of the solution. On the contrary such an estimate gives no information on the distance between the single particle in the approximate and the true solution (sup norm). A better and relevant control is given by the estimate (5), which is obtained through the use of a discrete analogue of Sobolev embedding theorems (see Sect. 6).

Remark 2.8. We also stress that our approximation estimates, not only control the spatial profile, but also the time dependence. In particular, one easily gets from (27) and (31) that the first harmonic gives the principal contribution, the others being small corrections of order μ^σ, with $\sigma := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 2$.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of theorem 2.4, and is organized as follows. Section 3 contains the setting of the problem, with the description of the proof of the main Theorem. The range equation is dealt with in Section 4, while the solution of the kernel one is discussed in Section 5; final estimates are presented in Section 6. Some technical details are given in Appendixes: in Appendix A we show some regularity results for the nonlinearity; in Appendix B we give some improved estimates on the approximation of ℓ^q norms; and in Appendix C we prove the extension of the Implicit Function Theorem used to prove Proposition 5.5.

3. Settings and proof of Theorem 2.4

In all the paper we will deal only with sequences q_j which are reflection invariant, and thus which fulfill $q_j = q_{-j}$. Thus, *when writing ℓ^2 we will actually mean the subspace of ℓ^2 composed by symmetric sequences. The same will be true for all the other spaces of sequences that we will meet in the paper.*

More precisely, we will denote the space by ℓ^2 whenever it is endowed with its standard scalar product and norm, namely

$$\langle q, p\rangle_{\ell^2} := \sum_j q_j p_j \, , \qquad \|q\|^2_{\ell^2} := \langle q, q\rangle_{\ell^2} \, ;$$

and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{Q}$ when endowed with the norm

$$\|q\|^2_{\mathcal{Q}} := \|q\|^2_{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2}\langle q, -\Delta q\rangle^2_{\ell^2} \, , \tag{6}$$

which will play a fundamental role in Lemma 4.5 and Section 5; here $\mu > 0$ is the small parameter which was introduced in (3).

Remark 3.1. From the technical viewpoint, the interplay between the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is one of the delicate points. Indeed it turns out that the $\mathcal{Q}$ norm is too strong to ensure enough regularity for all the continuation procedures; but the ℓ^2 one is too week to grasp all the relevant information, in particular the non-degeneracy of the solutions, contained in the result of [9]. We will thus play with both the norms depending on the situations.

We look for T-periodic solutions of (1) of the form

$$q_j(t) = u_j(\omega t) \, ,$$

with[1]

$$u_j(t) = \sum_{l\geq 0} u_{j,l}\cos(lt) \, . \tag{7}$$

We define $X_k \subset H^k([0, 2\pi]; \ell^2)$, to be the space of *even* functions of time taking value in ℓ^2 which are square integrable together with their weak derivatives up to order k.

In this paper we will only use $k \in \{0, 2\}$; for $k = 2$ we will use the norm

$$\|u\|^2_{X_2} := \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\|u(t)\|^2_{\ell^2} + \|\dot u(t)\|^2_{\ell^2} + \|\ddot u(t)\|^2_{\ell^2}\right) dt = \sum_{j,l}(1 + l^2 + l^4)u^2_{j,l} \, . \tag{8}$$

Using the time-rescaled variable u, we rewrite (1) in the form

$$L^{(\omega)}u = N(u) \, , \tag{9}$$

where

$$L^{(\omega)} \ : \ = L_\omega - a\Delta \, , \qquad L_\omega := \omega^2\partial_{tt} + \mathbb{I} \, ; \tag{10}$$

$$(N(u))_j \ : \ = \beta|u_j|^{2p}u_j \, , \tag{11}$$

are respectively the linear and nonlinear operators.

3.1. **Lyapunov–Schmidt decomposition.** We look for small amplitude solutions, bifurcating from the trivial one. We perform a Lyapunov–Schmidt decomposition with respect to $L_1 \equiv L_\omega\big|_{\omega=1}$. Define

$$V_2 := Ker(L_1) \, , \qquad W_0 := Range(L_1) \, , \qquad W_2 := W_0 \cap X_2 \tag{12}$$

and V_0, the completion of V_2 in X_0. Such decomposition is invariant under the action of the linear operators (10).

We correspondingly decompose u in the two components v and w

$$u = v + w \, , \qquad u \in X_2 \, , \quad v \in V_2 \, , \quad w \in W_2 \, ; \tag{13}$$

according to the development (7), if we denote $e_l = \cos(lt)$ and $\mathcal{L} := \{l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq 0, l \neq 1\}$, we may write

$$u_j(t) = v_j e_1 + \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} w_{j,l}e_l \, , \tag{14}$$

---

[1] Due to the autonomous and reversible nature of (1), it is rather natural to look for solutions even in time, thus with a Fourier development in cosine only.

where $v_j = v_{j,1}$ is the only Fourier component in the kernel. Denote $\lambda := \mu^2 m = 1 - \omega^2$, Π_V the projector onto V_0, and $\Pi_W = \Pi_V - \mathbb{I}$ the projector onto W_0. We remark that V_2 and V_0 are isometrically (up to a constant factor) isomorphic to ℓ^2, since any element $v \in V_2$ or $z \in V_0$ have only one Fourier component.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.4.** In this subsection we give the steps of the proof, leaving the full details to the subsequent sections.

Step 0: Decomposition. We first decompose (9) in the following two equations, the first on the range and the second on the kernel

$$L^{(\omega)} w = \Pi_W(N(v+w)) , \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda v - a(\Delta v) = \Pi_V(N(v+w)) . \tag{16}$$

Step 1: Range equation. As usual in the Lyapunov–Schmidt decomposition, we first fix v and ω and solve the range equation (15) via the Implicit Function Theorem (see Proposition 4.2) showing that

$$w(v) \simeq \left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1} \Pi_W(N(v)) + \text{higher order terms} .$$

We stress that the range equation is solved using always the ℓ^2 norm. All the corresponding discussions and proofs are presented in Section 4.

Step 2: Kernel equation. We insert now the solution of the range equation $w(v)$ in the kernel equation (16). Due to the smallness of $w(v)$, the term $\Pi_V N(v + w(v))$ can be split into a main part $\Pi_V N(v)$ and a remainder:

$$\Pi_V N(v + w(v)) = \Pi_V N(v) + \Pi_V \left[N(v + w(v)) - N(v)\right] ; \tag{17}$$

it turns out that $\left(\Pi_V N(v(t))\right)_j = \beta c_1 |v_j|^{2p} v_j \cos(t)$, and

$$c_1 := \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(t)|^{2p} \cos^2(t) dt . \tag{18}$$

By applying the following scaling

$$\lambda = m\mu^2 , \qquad v = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \phi , \tag{19}$$

factoring out the time dependence and recalling that we set $\beta = \frac{1}{c_1}$, the kernel equation then looks

$$-\frac{a}{\mu^2}(\Delta\phi) + m\phi - |\phi|^{2p}\phi + R_V(\phi) = 0 , \tag{20}$$

where

$$R_V(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mu^{2+\frac{1}{p}}} \Pi_V \left[N\left(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi + w\left(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi\right)\right) - N\left(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi\right)\right] .$$

Since $R_V(\phi)$ is small, (see Lemma 5.3), the kernel equation, in the form (20), appears as a perturbation of the dNLS model studied in paper [9]. The main result of [9] ensures the existence of breathers in the form of non-degenerate ground states. We exploit non-degeneracy to continue such solutions to solutions of the full equation (20).

In order to exploit the result of [9] we need to work using the norm $\mathcal{Q}$ rescaled by a factor $\mu^{\frac{n}{2}}$; so let us introduce the following notations

$$\ell^2_\mu := \left(\ell^2 ; \|\cdot\|_{\ell^2_\mu}\right) , \qquad \|\cdot\|^2_{\ell^2_\mu} := \mu^n \|\cdot\|^2_{\ell^2} , \tag{21}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_\mu := \left(\ell^2 ; \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}\right) , \qquad \|\cdot\|^2_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu} := \mu^n \|\cdot\|^2_{\mathcal{Q}} . \tag{22}$$

In terms of these norms it is possible to prove good estimates for R_V, see Lemma 5.3. In particular we use them in order to prove some discrete analogue of the Sobolev embedding theorems.

This ensure the applicability of the Implicit Function Theorem C.1 for the continuation procedure (see Proposition 5.5 for the details); we thus obtain the 2^n solutions $v^i = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi^i$, with ϕ^i close to ψ^i (see estimate (35) and (40)), and the corresponding 2^n solutions of (1)

$$q^i(t) = v^i(\omega t) + w(v^i(\omega t)) , \qquad i = 1, \ldots, 2^n .$$

Step 3: Estimates. Finally one has to collect all the estimates in order to get the result. We will also obtain the improved estimate needed to control the sup norm of the difference between the true solution and the actual solution. This will be done in Sect. 6 since it actually requires the results of all the previous sections. □

4. THE RANGE EQUATION

In this Section we will prove Proposition 4.2 on the solutions of the range equation. We start by controlling the inverse of the linear operator $L^{(\omega)}$ defined in (10):

Lemma 4.1. *If $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\omega^2 - 1| < \frac{1}{2}$, the linear operator $\left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded from $W_0 \subset X_0$ to $W_2 \subset X_2$*

Proof. We use Neumann formula for the inversion of a linear operator. We rewrite $L^{(\omega)}$ as

$$L^{(\omega)} = L_\omega(\mathbb{I} + aL_\omega^{-1}\Delta) ,$$

from which

$$\left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1} = (\mathbb{I} + aL_\omega^{-1}\Delta)^{-1}L_\omega^{-1} = \left[\sum_{k\geq 0}(-aL_\omega^{-1}\Delta)^k\right] L_\omega^{-1} .$$

We observe that the series defines a bounded linear operator if

$$a \left\|L_\omega^{-1}\Delta\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(W_0,W_2)} < 1 . \tag{23}$$

On one hand Δ acts only on the spatial index j and defines a bounded operator on ℓ^2 with

$$\|\Delta w\|_{\ell^2} \leq 2 \|w\|_{\ell^2} ;$$

on the other hand, L_ω^{-1} acts only on the temporal index l and, provided $|\omega^2 - 1| < 1/2$, is bounded. Hence (23) is fulfilled provided a is small enough, i.e. $a < \frac{1}{2}$.

□

We will show that w is smaller than v so that $N(v + w) = N(v)$+higher order terms. It is thus natural to expect the solution of the range equation (15) to be close to the solution of $L^{(\omega)}w = \Pi_W(N(v))$ namely to

$$w_0(v) := \left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1} \Pi_W N(v) .$$

Define

$$\mathcal{U}_\delta := \left\{v \in V_2 \ : \ \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2} + \|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^2,X_0)} < \delta\right\} \tag{24}$$

where we used the identification of V_2 with ℓ^2 (see (14)).

We are now ready to prove the following proposition

Proposition 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, there exists $\delta > 0$, constants C, C', and a function $w \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathcal{U}_\delta, X_2)$ close to w_0 such that $w = w(v)$ solves (15). Moreover the following estimates hold*

$$\|w(v) - w_0(v)\|_{X_2} \leq C \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2} \|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^2,X_0)} \tag{25}$$

$$\|w'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_2)} \leq C' \|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,\ell^2)} . \tag{26}$$

Remark 4.3. Clearly $w(v)$ is small with $N(v)$, i.e.

$$\|w(v)\|_{X_2} \leq C'' \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2} . \tag{27}$$

Proof. Since the Nemitski operator defined by N is $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(X_2, X_0)$ by lemma A.4, the implicit function theorem ensures the existence of a neighbourhood of the origin in which the function w is well defined. In order to get the size of such a neighbourhood and to prove the estimates (25), (26) we go through the proof of the implicit function theorem using the contraction mapping principle.

Let us first check that $w_0(v) \not\equiv 0$. We write explicitly $w_0(v)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Pi_W N(v)]_j &= \Pi_W\left(|v_j|^{2p} v_j |e_1|^{2p} e_1\right) = |v_j|^{2p} v_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} |e_1|^{2p} e_1 e_l dt\right] e_l = \\
&= |v_j|^{2p} v_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} c_l e_l \, ;
\end{aligned}$$

since c_l is the Fourier coefficients of $|\cos(t)|^{2p}\cos(t)$, there surely exists at least one $c_l \neq 0$ with $l \in \mathcal{L}$.

Now rewrite the range equation as follows:

$$w = \left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1} \Pi_W N(v+w) =: F(v+w) \ . \tag{28}$$

Fix a positive δ_1, and v with $\|v\| < \delta_1$, and look for conditions such that, the r.h.s. of (28) defines a contraction of a ball of center $w_0(v)$ and radius δ_2. We claim that

$$\|w - w_0(v)\|_{X_2} < \delta_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \|F(v+w) - w_0(v)\|_{X_2} < \delta_2$$

if $\delta_2 := K \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2} \|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^2, X_0)}$ and K sufficiently large.

To prove it, first remark that, since $N \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}$,

$$\sup_{\|u-v-w_0(v)\|_{X_2}\leq\delta_2} \|N'(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} \leq \|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} + C'\delta_2 \, , \tag{29}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F(v+w) - w_0(v)\|_{X_2} &= \left\| \left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1} \Pi_W \left[N(v+w) - N(v)\right] \right\|_{X_2} \leq \\
&\leq C \sup_{\|u-v-w_0(v)\|_{X_2}\leq\delta_2} \|N'(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} \|w\|_{X_2} \leq \\
&\leq C\left(C'\delta_2 + \|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)}\right)\left(\delta_2 + C'' \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2}\right) = \\
&= \frac{C}{K}\left(C'K\|N(v)\|_{\ell^2} + 1\right)\left(K\|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} + C''\right)\delta_2 \, ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is smaller than δ_2 provided K is sufficiently big and $\|N(v)\|_{\ell^2}$ and $\|N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)}$ sufficiently small, i.e. if $v \in \mathcal{U}_\delta$. Then one immediately sees that by possibly reducing δ the r.h.s. of (28) has a Lipschitz constant smaller than one in the considered ball. So, we get the first of the estimates (25).

In order to get the estimate of the derivative of w just remark that

$$w'(v) = \left(1 - F'(v + w(v))\right)^{-1} F'(v + w(v))$$

where we denoted $F(u) := \left(L^{(\omega)}\right)^{-1} \Pi_W N(u)$. Using Neumann formula in order to compute $\left(1 - F'(v+w(v))\right)^{-1}$ one sees that this is a well defined bounded linear operator provided δ is small enough. Adding the estimate of F' in the ball, which in turn is obtained through (29) one gets the thesis. □

Remark 4.4. Since the topology induced by the $\mathcal{Q}$ norm is stronger than the ℓ^2 topology, one also has that the solution $w(v)$ of the range equation is $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{U}_\delta, X_2)$.

In order to use in an effective way the inequality (27) we will make use of the following lemma, which will be proved in Appendix B, and which is based on the use of Sobolev embedding theorem applied to functionals interpolating the ℓ^q norms.

Lemma 4.5. *One has*

$$\mu^n \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |v_j|^q \le C \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^q \ , \tag{30}$$

which gives in the case of operator N

$$\left\| N(v) \right\|_{\ell^2_\mu} \le C' \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{2p+1} \ . \tag{31}$$

Remark 4.6. The estimate (31) is much stronger than the trivial one obtained by using the homogeneity of N and rescaling v. This will be crucial for our development.

5. The kernel equation

We have seen in formula (20) that the kernel equation looks like

$$G(\phi,\mu) = 0, \qquad G(\phi,\mu) = G_0(\phi,\mu) + R_V(\phi,\mu) \tag{32}$$

where

$$G_0(\phi,\mu) := -\frac{a}{\mu^2}(\Delta\phi) + m\phi - |\phi|^{2p}\phi = 0 \tag{33}$$

is the equation for the ground state of the dNLS model. The maps G and G_0 will be considered as maps $G : \mathcal{Q}_\mu \times \mathbb{R} \to \ell^2_\mu$.

The idea is to continue a solution of (33) to a solution of (32). So, first we show that R_V is actually a perturbation of G_0. Denoting by $\Phi = \Phi(\mu)$ a solution of $G_0 = 0$, we then show that $G_0'(\Phi)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{Q}_\mu$ on ℓ^2_μ.

We begin by recalling the statement of the main result of [9]. First we need to introduce a few objects. Consider the functional

$$H_0(\phi) := \mu^n \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|j-k|=1\ ;\ j,k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|\phi_j - \phi_k|^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\phi_j|^{2p+2} \right] , \tag{34}$$

and the surface

$$S = \{\phi \ : \ N(\phi) = 1\} , \qquad N(\phi) := \mu^n \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\phi_j|^2 .$$

Theorem 5.1. *(Main theorem of [9].) For any μ small enough and $\frac{1}{2} \le p < 2/n$ there exist 2^n distinct real valued sequences $\Phi^i_j(\mu)$ which are solutions of (20) with $R_V \equiv 0$. Such solutions are coercive minima of $H_0\big|_S$. The coercivity is intended in the norm $\mathcal{Q}_\mu$. Furthermore one has*

$$\left\| \Phi^i - \psi^i \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu} \le C\mu , \tag{35}$$

where ψ^i_j are the sequences defined in (2).

Remark 5.2. In the following we will concentrate on one of these solutions, so we will suppress the index i, from Φ, from Ψ and also from ψ.

Lemma 5.3. *$R_V \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathcal{Q}_\mu, \ell^2_\mu)$ fulfils*

$$\left\| R_V(\phi) \right\|_{\ell^2_\mu} \le C_1 \mu^{2-\frac{n}{2}} \left\| \phi \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p+1} \ , \qquad \left\| R_V'(\phi) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q}_\mu,\ell^2_\mu)} \le C_2 \sqrt{\mu} \left\| \phi \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p} . \tag{36}$$

Moreover, $R_V(\phi,\mu)$ and $R_V'(\phi,\mu)$ are continuous with respect to $\mu \in (0,+\infty)$.

Proof. The smoothness of R_V follows from the smoothness of N, since both the norms in the spaces $\mathcal{Q}$ and ℓ^2 have been rescaled by the same factor.

We prove the first of (36) working first on the non rescaled quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Pi_V \left[N(v + w(v)) - N(v) \right] \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 &\le \left\| N(v+w(v)) - N(v) \right\|_{X_0}^2 \le \\ &\le C \left(\left\| \nabla N(v) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla N(w) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \right) \left\| w(v) \right\|_{X_2}^2 \le \\ &\le C_1 \left(\left\| \nabla N(v) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla N(w) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \right) \left\| N(v) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\nabla N(v) := |v|^{2p}$. We now apply the scaling $v = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi$; and using Lemma 4.5 one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla N(v)\|_{\ell^2}^2 &= \sum_j |v_j|^{4p} \leq \mu^{4-n} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p} \ , \\
\|\nabla N(w)\|_{\ell^2}^2 &= \sum_j |w_j|^{4p} \leq C \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2}^{4p} \leq C_2 \mu^{4+\frac{8}{p}-2np} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p+8p^2} \ , \\
\|N(v)\|_{\ell^2}^2 &= \sum_j |v_j|^{4p+2} \leq \mu^{4-n+\frac{2}{p}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p+2} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

If we ignore the term $\|\nabla N(w)\|_{\ell^2}$ which is much smaller in μ than the main one $\|\nabla N(v)\|_{\ell^2}$ and we take the scaled norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^2_\mu}$ we obtain

$$\|R_V(\phi)\|_{\ell^2_\mu} \leq C_1 \mu^{2-\frac{n}{2}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p+1} \ .$$

In order to conclude, we move to the estimate of $R'_V(v)$. Notice that

$$\|R'_V(\phi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q}_\mu,\ell^2_\mu)} = \sup \frac{\|R'_V(\phi)[h]\|_{\ell^2_\mu}}{\|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}} = \sup \frac{\|R'_V(\phi)[h]\|_{\ell^2}}{\|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}}} \leq \|R'_V(\phi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q},\ell^2)} \ .$$

Let us first differentiate the non rescaled version of R_V:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}v}\Pi_V \circ [N(v+w(v)) - N(v)] = \Pi_V \circ [N'(v+w(v)) - N'(v)] + \Pi_V \circ N'(v+w(v)) \circ w'(v) \ , \tag{37}$$

We deal with the first addendum in the r.h.s. of (37), by using the Lipschitz continuity of N'

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Pi_V \circ [N'(v+w(v)) - N'(v)]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q},\ell^2)} &\leq \|[N'(v+w(v)) - N'(v)]\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} \leq \\
&\leq C(\|v\|_{X_2} + \|w\|_{X_2})^{2p-1} \|w\|_{X_2} \leq \\
&\leq C_1(\|v\|_{\ell^2} + \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2})^{2p-1} \|N(v)\|_{\ell^2} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, after rescaling the variable and the norm, we get

$$C\mu^4(\|\phi\|_{\ell^2} + \mu^2 \|N(\phi)\|_{\ell^2})^{2p-1} \|N(\phi)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C_1 \mu^2 \mu^{2-np+\frac{n}{2}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p} < C_1 \mu^2 \sqrt{\mu} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p} \ ,$$

where we have ignored the smaller term $\mu^2 \|N(\phi)\|_{\ell^2}$. A similar estimate can be obtained for the second addendum in (37). Coming back to R_V

$$R'_V(\phi) = \mu^{-2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}v}\Pi_V \left[N\left(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi + w\left(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi\right)\right) - N\left(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\phi\right)\right] \ ,$$

the above informations yield

$$\|R'_V(\phi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q}_\mu,\ell^2_\mu)} \leq C_1\sqrt{\mu} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{4p} \ .$$

The continuity with respect to the parameter μ follows from standard arguments. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let Φ be a coercive minimum of $H_0\big|_S$, then the differential $G'_0(\Phi)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{Q}_\mu$ on ℓ^2_μ.*

Proof. By the theory of Lagrange multipliers one has that Φ is a (free) critical point of $H_0 + mN$, with a suitable m, while $G'_0(\Phi)$ is such that

$$d^2(H_0 + mN)(\Phi)[h,h] = \langle G'_0(\Phi)h; h\rangle_{\ell^2} \ .$$

Introduce now "polar coordinates"

$$T_\Phi S \times \mathbb{R} \ni (\xi,\eta) \mapsto \phi(\xi,\eta) := \xi + \eta\Phi \ ,$$

and write $G'_0(\Phi)$ as a block matrix in terms of such coordinates. It has the structure

$$G'_0(\Phi) = \begin{bmatrix} A & b \\ b^T & d \end{bmatrix} \ . \tag{38}$$

By non-degeneracy one has $\langle A\xi;\xi\rangle_{\ell^2} \geq C\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^2$, which, by Lax-Milgram lemma implies that $A : \mathcal{Q}_\mu \to \ell^2_\mu$ is an isomorphism and is positive definite.

Now one has

$$d = d^2(H_0 + mN)(\Phi)[\Phi, \Phi] = \langle G'(\Phi)[\Phi], \Phi\rangle = -2p \sum_j (\Phi)^{2p+2} < 0 \tag{39}$$

which shows that the quadratic form $d^2(H_0 + mN)(\Phi)[\Phi, \Phi]$ has a negative direction. It follows that such a quadratic form does not have null directions. Thus non-degeneracy and the thesis follow. □

Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 give the hypothesis needed by Theorem C.1. We get the final

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\Phi(\mu)$ be a non-degenerate critical point of $H_0\big|_S$, then, for μ small enough there exists a solution $\phi(\mu)$ of the rescaled kernel equation* (20), *such that*

$$\left\|\phi - \Phi\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu} \le C\mu^{2-\frac{n}{2}} . \tag{40}$$

Proof. We verify the assumptions of Theorem C.1. Set $X := \mathcal{Q}_\mu$ and $Z := \ell^2_\mu$ and $x_0(\mu) := \Phi(\mu)$. Define

$$F_0 := G_0(\phi, \mu), \qquad F_1 := R_V(\phi, \mu) \ .$$

Then, by the previous lemmas the assumption of Theorem C.1 are satisfied and the thesis follows. □

6. End of the proof

We begin a section by a simple lemma needed to obtain the estimate 5.

Lemma 6.1. *For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have*

$$|\phi_j| \le 2\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\phi\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \ . \tag{41}$$

Proof. We write the proof for the case $n = 2$. The case $n = 1$ is simpler. Denote $j = (h, k)$; one has

$$\phi^2_{h,k} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{h} (\phi^2_{m,k} - \phi^2_{m-1,k}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{h} (\phi_{m,k} - \phi_{m-1,k})(\phi_{m,k} + \phi_{m-1,k})$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(h,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \phi^2_{h,k} &\le 4\sqrt{\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi^2_{m,k}}\sqrt{\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (\phi_{m+1,k} - \phi_{m,k})^2} \le \\ &\le \left(2\sqrt{\left\|\phi\right\|_{\ell^2}}\mu^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{4}}\left[\mu^n \frac{\langle\phi; -\Delta\phi\rangle_{\ell^2}}{\mu^2}\right]^{1/4}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and (41). □

End of the proof of theorem 2.4. We collect now the estimates needed to conclude the proof. From proposition 5.5 and theorem 5.1 we get

$$\left\|\phi - \psi\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu} \le C\mu \ .$$

From this we get (using also Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|v\right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu} &\le C\mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \left\|v - \mu^{1/p}\psi\right\|_{\ell^2} &\le \mu^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{2}+1} \\ \sup_j \left|v_j - \mu^{1/p}\psi_j\right| &\le C\mu^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}} \\ \left\|w\right\|_{X_2} &\le C\mu^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{2}+2} \\ |w_j| &\le C\mu^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{2}+2} \end{aligned}$$

from which the thesis immediately follows. □

APPENDIX A. SMOOTHNESS OF NEMITSKI OPERATORS.

Lemma A.1. *If $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then the operator N defined in (11) is $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ from ℓ^2 to ℓ^2 with the usual norm.*

Proof. We first remark that $\ell^2 \subset \ell^q$ for all $q \geq 2$, indeed

$$\|u\|_{\ell^q}^q = \sum_j |u_j|^q = \sum_j (|u_j|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq C_q (\sum_j u_j^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} = C_q \|u\|_{\ell^2}^q ,$$

which immediately tells that $N(u) \in \ell^2$ if $u \in \ell^2$. Indeed

$$\|N(u)\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |u_j|^{4p+2} = \|u\|_{\ell^{4p+2}}^{4p+2} \leq C_p \|u\|_{\ell^2}^{4p+2} .$$

Moreover it will be useful to remind that $\ell^2 \subset \ell^\infty$ since

$$\|u\|_{\ell^\infty}^2 = (\sup_j |u_j|)^2 = \max_j |u_j|^2 \leq \sum_j |u_j|^2 = \|u\|_{\ell^2}^2 .$$

The first continuous embedding immediately gives also the continuity at the origin. To obtain the continuity at a point $u \neq 0$ we proceed showing that N is Frechet differentiable at any u with bounded differential $N'(u)$. From a direct computations one has that

$$N'(u)[h] = |u|^{2p} h,$$

hence

$$\|N'(u)[h]\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_j |u_j|^{4p} h_j^2 \leq C_1 \|u\|_{\ell^2}^{4p} \|h\|_{\ell^2}^2$$

which gives

$$\|N'(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^2,\ell^2)} \leq C_p \|u\|_{\ell^2}^{2p} .$$

The possibility of locally bounding the differential yields to the local Lipschitz continuity of N, since

$$\|N(u) - N(v)\|_{\ell^2} \leq \left(\sup_{\|w\|_{\ell^2} \leq \|u\|_{\ell^2} + \|v\|_{\ell^2}} \|N'(w)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^2,\ell^2)} \right) \|u - v\|_{\ell^2} .$$

Finally, the (local) Lipschitz continuity of N' is due to $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed

$$\|N'(u)[h] - N'(v)[h]\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_j \left(|u_j|^{2p} - |v_j|^{2p}\right)^2 h_j^2 \leq C_p \|u - v\|_{\ell^2}^2 \|h\|_{\ell^2}^2$$

with $C_p = C(p, \|u\|_{\ell^\infty}, \|v\|_{\ell^\infty})$ a local constant. □

Corollary A.2. *If $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then the operator N is $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathcal{Q}, \ell^2)$.*

We are now interested in regularity of N as a map from X_2 to X_0. We first state an auxiliary Lemma:

Lemma A.3. *Let us define $f(t) := \|u(t)\|_{\ell^2} : [0, 2\pi] \to W_0$. If $u \in X_2$ then $f \in H^1([0, 2\pi])$. More precisely one has*

$$\|f\|_{H^1} \leq \sqrt{2} \|u\|_{X_2} . \tag{42}$$

Proof. Surely $f \in L^2(\mathcal{I})$, where we set $\mathcal{I} := [0, 2\pi]$. By differentiating $f^2(t)$ we get

$$\dot{f} = \frac{1}{f} \left(\langle u, \dot{u} \rangle\right),$$

thus

$$|\dot{f}(t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\|u\|_{\ell^2}^2} \left(\|u\|_{\ell^2} \|\dot{u}\|_{\ell^2}\right)^2 \leq 2 \|\dot{u}\|_{\ell^2}^2 ;$$

hence

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\dot{f}(t)|^2 dt \leq 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \|\dot{u}\|_{\ell^2}^2 \leq 2 \|u\|_{X_2}^2$$

which implies $f \in H^1(I)$. The estimate (42) follows from

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |\dot f(t)|^2 dt \le 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\|u(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \|\dot u(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2 \right] \le 2 \|u\|_{X_2}^2 .$$

Lemma A.4. *The nonlinear operator N is $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(X_2, X_0)$.*

Proof. From Lemma A.1 it follows that, for any fixed $t \in I$ it holds

$$\|N(u(t))\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le C_1 \|u(t)\|_{\ell^2}^{4p+2} ,$$

so from the Sobolev embedding $L^q(I) \hookrightarrow H^1(I)$, $q \ge 2$ we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|N(u(t))\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le C_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \|u(t)\|_{\ell^2}^{4p+2} \le C_2 \|\|u\|_{\ell^2}\|_{H^1}^{4p+2} \le C_2 \|u\|_{X_2}^{4p+2} ,$$

which simply gives

$$\|N(u)\|_{X_0} \le C \|u\|_{X_2}^{2p+1} .$$

Let us consider now the Frechet differential

$$N'(u) : h \in X_2 \mapsto N'(u)[h] = |u|^{2p} h \in X_0;$$

once more, for any fixed $t \in I$ one has

$$\|N'(u(t))[h(t)]\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le C_1 \|u(t))\|_{\ell^2}^{4p} \|h(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2$$

thus, again from Sobolev embeddings, we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|N'(u(t))[h(t)]\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le C_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \|u(t))\|_{\ell^2}^{4p} \|h(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le C_2 \|u\|_{X_2}^{4p} \|h\|_{X_2}^2 ;$$

we have so proved that the differential is locally bounded

$$\|N'(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} \le C \|u\|_{X_2}^{2p} ,$$

and hence $N \in \mathcal{C}^1(0)$. Gathering the previous results we deduce

$$\|N(u) - N(v)\|_{X_0} \le \left(\sup_{w \in [u,v]} \|N'(w)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} \right) \|u - v\|_{X_2}$$

which is the local Lipschitz continuity. The local Lipschitz continuity of $N'(u)$ can be obtained in the same way as in Lemma A.1. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(N'(v+w) - N'(v))[h]\|_{X_0}^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| h(|v+w|^{2p} - |v|^{2p}) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le \\
&\le \int_0^{2\pi} \|h\|_{\ell^2}^2 \left\| |v+w|^{2p} - |v|^{2p} \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le \\
&\le \|\|h\|_{\ell^2}\|_{L^4} \left\| \left\| |v+w|^{2p} - |v|^{2p} \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \right\|_{L^2} \le \\
&\le C \|h\|_{X_2}^2 \left\| \left\| |v+w|^{2p} - |v|^{2p} \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \right\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, following Lemma A.1 one has

$$\left\| |v+w|^{2p} - |v|^{2p} \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \le C_L(t)^2 \|w\|_{\ell^2}^2$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
C_L(t) &= 2p(\|v(t)\|_{\ell^\infty} + \|w(t)\|_{\ell^\infty})^{2p-1} \le \\
&\le 4(\|v(t)\|_{\ell^2} + \|w(t)\|_{\ell^2})^{2p-1} \le \\
&\le 4(\|\|v\|_{\ell^2}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\|w\|_{\ell^2}\|_{L^\infty})^{2p-1} \le \\
&\le 4(\|v\|_{X_2} + \|w\|_{X_2})^{2p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|N'(v+w) - N'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_2,X_0)} \le L \|w\|_{X_2} , \qquad L := C(\|v\|_{X_2} + \|w\|_{X_2})^{2p-1}$$

where the Lipschitz constant L is local, unless for $p = \frac{1}{2}$. □

Appendix B. Approximation of discrete functionals and proof of Lemma 4.5.

The proof of Lemma 4.5 is based on the use of Sobolev embedding theorem applied to the continuous interpolation of some discrete functional. In turn, following [9], the continuous interpolation is obtained through the method of finite elements as we are now going to recall.

B.1. **The case $n = 1$.** Define the sequence of functions $s_j(x)$ by

$$s_j(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad |x-j| > 1 \\ x - j + 1, & \text{if} \quad -1 \le x - j \le 0 \\ -x + j + 1, & \text{if} \quad 0 \le x - j \le 1 \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

and, to a sequence ψ_j, we associate a function

$$\Upsilon(x) := \sum_j \psi_j s_j(x/\mu). \tag{44}$$

On the interval $T_j := [\mu j, \mu(j+1))$ the above function reads

$$\Upsilon(x) = (x - \mu j)\frac{(\psi_{j+1} - \psi_j)}{\mu} + \psi_j. \tag{45}$$

B.2. **The case $n = 2$.** For each multi-index $j = (h,k)$, take the function $s_{h,k}(x,y)$ which represents the hexagonal pyramid of height one centered in (h,k) with support the union of the six triangles $T^{\pm}_{h,k}$, $T^{+}_{h-1,k}$, $T^{-}_{h,k+1}$, $T^{+}_{h,k-1}$, $T^{-}_{h+1,k}$. For example, on $T^{+}_{h,k}$ the function $s_{h,k}$ represents the plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which passes through the three points $(h,k,1)$, $(h+1,k,0)$, $(h,k+1,0)$, namely

$$s_{h,k}(x,y) = -x - y + h + k + 1.$$

We take $\{s_{h,k}(x/\mu, y/\mu)\}_{(h,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ as a basis to generate a piecewise linear function $\psi(x,y)$ which interpolates $\psi_{h,k}$

$$\Upsilon(x,y) := \sum_{(h,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \psi_{h,k} s_{h,k}(x/\mu, y/\mu). \tag{46}$$

On the triangle $T^{+}_{h,k}$ the function ψ is a plane which reads

$$\Upsilon(x,y) = (x - \mu h)\frac{(\psi_{h+1,k} - \psi_{h,k})}{\mu} + (y - \mu k)\frac{(\psi_{h,k+1} - \psi_{h,k})}{\mu} + \psi_{h,k}, \tag{47}$$

while on the opposite triangle $T^{-}_{h,k}$ it reads

$$\Upsilon(x,y) = (x - \mu h)\frac{(\psi_{h,k} - \psi_{h-1,k})}{\mu} + (y - \mu k)\frac{(\psi_{h,k} - \psi_{h,k-1})}{\mu} + \psi_{h,k}. \tag{48}$$

Definition B.1. We denote by $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ the linear subspace of H^1 of the functions (46) with $\{\psi_j\} \in \mathcal{Q}_\mu$.

B.3. **Interpolation.** We recall now some lemmas which were proved in [9].

Lemma B.2. *Let $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, denote by $\psi = \{\psi_j\}$ the corresponding sequence, then one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \Upsilon(x)|\, d^n x = -\frac{1}{\mu^2}\mu^n \langle \psi, \Delta\psi\rangle_{\ell^2} \tag{49}$$

Lemma B.3. *Let $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, denote by $\psi = \{\psi_j\}$ the corresponding sequence; define*

$$G_c(\Upsilon) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Upsilon|^{q+2}, \qquad G_d(\Upsilon) := \mu^n \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\psi_j|^{q+2}, \qquad R_G(\Upsilon) := G_c(\Upsilon) - G_d(\Upsilon);$$

if $q \ge 1$ then $R_G \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ and for any bounded open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{E}_\mu$ there exists $C(\mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\|R_G\|_{\mathcal{C}^2(\mathcal{U})} \le C\mu.$$

Proof of lemma 4.5. One has

$$\mu^n \sum_j |\psi_j|^{q+2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Upsilon|^{q+2} \, d^n x + O(\mu) \leq C \left\| \Upsilon \right\|_{H^1}^{q+2} + O(\mu)$$

$$= C \left\| \psi \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{q+2} + O(\mu) \leq C' \left\| \psi \right\|_{\mathcal{Q}_\mu}^{q+2}$$

where the third inequality follows from the fact that, for $n = 1, 2$ one has that the injection $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^p$ is continuous for any p. From this the thesis immediately follows. ☐

Appendix C. A version of the implicit function theorem.

Theorem C.1. *Let X, Z be Banach spaces and let $0 \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{I}, Z)$, with $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ open. Let $x_0 = x_0(\mu) \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that*

(1)

$$F_0(x_0(\mu), \mu) = 0; \forall \mu \in \mathcal{I} \tag{50}$$

(2) *$F'_{0,x}(x, \mu)$ is Lipschitz in $\mathcal{U}$ uniformly in μ, i.e. there exists $L = L(\mathcal{U})$* **independent of** *μ such that*

$$\left\| F'_{0,x}(x, \mu) - F'_{0,x}(x_0, \mu) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Z)} \leq L \left\| x - x_0 \right\|_X , \qquad \forall x \in \mathcal{U}; \tag{51}$$

(3) *$F'_{0,x}(x_0, \mu)$ is invertible and its inverse is bounded uniformly in μ, i.e. there exists $C_1(\mathcal{U})$ such that*

$$\left\| [F'_{0,x}(x_0, \mu)]^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,X)} < C_1 , \qquad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{I} . \tag{52}$$

(4) *Let $F_1 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{I}, Z)$ be such that there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $C_2(\mathcal{U})$ such that*

$$\left\| F_1(x, \mu) \right\|_Z \leq C_2 \mu^\alpha, \qquad \forall (x, \mu) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{I}; \tag{53}$$

(5) *there exist $\beta > 0$ and $C_3(\mathcal{U})$ such that*

$$\left\| F'_{1,x}(x, \mu) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Z)} < C_3 \mu^\beta, \qquad \forall (x, \mu) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{I}; \tag{54}$$

Define $F := F_0 + F_1$, then there exist $\mathcal{U}_\delta \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $\mu^*(\delta) < \mu$ and a function $x(\mu) : \mathcal{I}_0^* := (0, \mu^*) \mapsto \mathcal{U}_\delta$ which solves

$$F(x(\mu), \mu) = 0 , \tag{55}$$

with

$$\left\| x(\mu) - x_0(\mu) \right\|_X \leq C \mu^\alpha . \tag{56}$$

Moreover one has $x(\mu) \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{I}_0^*, \mathcal{U}_\delta)$.

Proof. First remark that, provided μ is small enough and possibly restricting $\mathcal{U}$, $[F'_x(x)]^{-1}$ exists and fulfills

$$\left\| [F'_x(x_0, \mu)]^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,X)} < C , \qquad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{I} \ , \ . \tag{57}$$

Define now $A(x, \mu) := x - [F'_x(x_0, \mu)]^{-1} F(x, \mu)$ and remark that any fixed point of A is a solution of our problem. We now prove that A is a contraction of a ball of radius $O(\mu^\alpha)$ and center x_0. So, let x be such that $\left\| x - x_0 \right\| \leq \delta$, and let us estimate the Lipschitz constant of A in such a ball. One has

$$\left\| F'_{0,x}(x_0, \mu) - F'_{0,x}(x, \mu) \right\| \leq C\delta$$

$$\left\| F'_{1,x}(x_0, \mu) - F'_{1,x}(x, \mu) \right\| \leq C\mu^\beta$$

It follows that

$$\left\| A'(x, \mu) \right\| = \left\| [F'_x(x_0, \mu)]^{-1} (F'_x(x_0, \mu) - F'_x(x, \mu)) \right\| \leq q < 1$$

provided μ and δ are small enough. Compute now

$$\left\| A(x, \mu) - x_0 \right\| \leq \left\| A(x_0, \mu) - x_0 \right\| + \left\| A(x, \mu) - A(x_0, \mu) \right\|$$

$$\leq C\mu^\alpha q\delta \ ,$$

which is smaller than δ provided $C\mu^\alpha < (1-q)\delta$, which in turn can be obtained e.g. by taking

$$\delta = \frac{C\mu^\alpha}{2(1-q)} \ .$$

□

Acknowledgements

Partially supported by PRIN 2007B3RBEY "Dynamical Systems and applications".

References

[1] S. Aubry, G. Kopidakis, and V. Kadelburg, *Variational proof for hard discrete breathers in some classes of Hamiltonian dynamical systems*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 1 (2001), pp. 271–298.

[2] S. N. Bak, *The constrained minimization method in the problem of the oscillations of a chain of nonlinear oscillators*, Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom., 11 (2004), pp. 263–273.

[3] S. N. Bak and A. A. Pankov, *On periodic oscillations of an infinite chain of linearly coupled nonlinear oscillators*, Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Prirodozn. Tekh. Nauki, (2004), pp. 13–16.

[4] D. Bambusi, *Exponential stability of breathers in Hamiltonian networks of weakly coupled oscillators*, Nonlinearity, 9 (1996), pp. 433–457.

[5] D. Bambusi, A. Carati, and T. Penati, *Boundary effects on the dynamics of chains of coupled oscillators*, Nonlinearity, 22 (2009), pp. 923–946.

[6] D. Bambusi, A. Carati, and A. Ponno, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation as a resonant normal form*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 2 (2002), pp. 109–128.

[7] D. Bambusi and S. Paleari, *Families of periodic solutions of resonant PDEs*, J. Nonlinear Sci., 11 (2001), pp. 69–87.

[8] ———, *Families of periodic orbits for some PDE's in higher dimensions*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 1 (2002), pp. 269–279.

[9] D. Bambusi and T. Penati, *Continuous approximation of ground states in DNLS lattices*, (2009). preprint.

[10] D. Bambusi and A. Ponno, *On metastability in FPU*, Comm. Math. Phys., 264 (2006), pp. 539–561.

[11] H. Berestycki and P.-L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 82 (1983), pp. 313–345.

[12] S. Coleman, V. Glaser, and A. Martin, *Action minima among solutions to a class of Euclidean scalar field equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 58 (1978), pp. 211–221.

[13] J. J. Duistermaat, *Bifurcation of periodic solutions near equilibrium points of Hamiltonian systems*, in Bifurcation theory and applications (Montecatini, 1983), Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 57–105.

[14] G. Friesecke and R. L. Pego, *Solitary waves on FPU lattices. I. Qualitative properties, renormalization and continuum limit*, Nonlinearity, 12 (1999), pp. 1601–1627.

[15] ———, *Solitary waves on Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattices. I. Qualitative properties, renormalization and continuum limit. II. Linear implies nonlinear stability. III. Howland-type Floquet theory. IV. Proof of stability at low energy*, Nonlinearity, 12/15/17 (1999/2002/2004), pp. 1601–1627/1343–1359/207–227/229–251.

[16] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah, and W. Strauss, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry. I*, J. Funct. Anal., 74 (1987), pp. 160–197.

[17] A. Hoffman and C. E. Wayne, *Counter-propagating two-soliton solutions in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice*, Nonlinearity, 21 (2008), pp. 2911–2947.

[18] G. Iooss, *Travelling waves in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice*, Nonlinearity, 13 (2000), pp. 849–866.

[19] G. Iooss and G. James, *Localized waves in nonlinear oscillator chains*, Chaos, 15 (2005), pp. 015113, 15.

[20] G. Iooss and K. Kirchgässner, *Travelling waves in a chain of coupled nonlinear oscillators*, Comm. Math. Phys., 211 (2000), pp. 439–464.

[21] G. Iooss and D. E. Pelinovsky, *Normal form for travelling kinks in discrete Klein-Gordon lattices*, Phys. D, 216 (2006), pp. 327–345.

[22] G. James, *Centre manifold reduction for quasilinear discrete systems*, J. Nonlinear Sci., 13 (2003), pp. 27–63.

[23] G. James and Y. Sire, *Travelling breathers with exponentially small tails in a chain of nonlinear oscillators*, Comm. Math. Phys., 257 (2005), pp. 51–85.

[24] ———, *Center manifold theory in the context of infinite one-dimensional lattices*, in The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem, vol. 728 of Lecture Notes in Phys., Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 208–238.

[25] L. A. Kalyakin, *Long-wave asymptotics. Integrable equations as the asymptotic limit of nonlinear systems*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 44 (1989), pp. 5–34, 247.

[26] P. Kirrmann, G. Schneider, and A. Mielke, *The validity of modulation equations for extended systems with cubic nonlinearities*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 122 (1992), pp. 85–91.

[27] R. S. MacKay and S. Aubry, *Proof of existence of breathers for time-reversible or Hamiltonian networks of weakly coupled oscillators*, Nonlinearity, 7 (1994), pp. 1623–1643.

[28] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[29] T. Mizumachi and R. L. Pego, *Asymptotic stability of Toda lattice solitons*, Nonlinearity, 21 (2008), pp. 2099–2111.

[30] G. Schneider and C. E. Wayne, *Counter-propagating waves on fluid surfaces and the continuum limit of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model*, in International Conference on Differential Equations, Vol. 1, 2 (Berlin, 1999), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2000, pp. 390–404.

[31] J.-A. Sepulchre and R. S. MacKay, *Localized oscillations in conservative or dissipative networks of weakly coupled autonomous oscillators*, Nonlinearity, 10 (1997), pp. 679–713.

[32] Y. Sire, *Travelling breathers in Klein-Gordon lattices as homoclinic orbits to p-tori*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 17 (2005), pp. 779–823.

[33] M. I. Weinstein, *Excitation thresholds for nonlinear localized modes on lattices*, Nonlinearity, 12 (1999), pp. 673–691.

E-mail address: `dario.bambusi@unimi.it`
E-mail address: `simone.paleari@unimi.it`
E-mail address: `tiziano.penati@unimi.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Enriques”, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy.